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## C hapter 1: I ntroduction

The W inawer Countergambit (1.d4 d5 2.c4 c6 3.Nc3 e5!?) was dismissed by theory until quite recently, when it enjoyed a considerable renaissance in the 1990s. In this book you will find an up-to-date survey of the opening, with the largest collection of complete games and opinions on the variations from leading players past and present.

Three recent books were the primary sources for the material used in this work. Silman \& D onaldson (1993), M atsukevich (1994), M arkov \& Schipkov (1994). I have supplemented these references with the Deja V u Chess Library, finding complete scores of most of the games, and I present them in full in this book. A ncient wisdom was also consulted in the form of opening manuals dating back to the 19th century, and the history of the opening, or more accurately, of its reputation, is presented in the historical summary chapter.

Since the major sources cited are designed for use by advanced players, I have tried to extend the analysis and explain evaluations wherever necessary so that lower rated (1400+) tournament players can follow the discussion and use the opening in tournament play with a full understanding of the strategies and tactics which commonly arise.

In addition, I have tried to find new ideas, especially in the sidelines of the gambit.

## P art 0 ne: $W$ hite A ccepts the $G$ ambit with 4.dxe5

## 1.d4 d5 2.c4 c6 3.Nc3 e5 4.dxe5

|  |
| :---: |
|  |
| Y̛ Ü Y à ß Ü Y Ü |
|  |
| Y̛ Ü ã ƯY Y ƯY Ü |
| p ß ÜYp ¢ p |
| ë Ü c î ó æã ê |

Accepting the gambit is a common strategy for White. Even though Black will be able to develop quickly, and usually regain the pawn, the Black queen becomes exposed. By pressuring the Black queen, $W$ hite can regain a lot of the time lost in the first few moves, and will often emerge with the initiative. At present, theory considers acceptance of the gambit to be one of $W$ hite's best options.

## 4...d4 5.Ne4 Qa5+

This is the normal continuation. Black will tie down White's pieces and use the time for rapid development. The drawback is that at some point Black needs to regain the pawn, and this means returning the advantage in time. There are some options which involve a permanent gambit, usually with ...f6, but only time will tell if they are really sound.
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C hapter 2: 4.dxe5 (I ntroduction)

## Alapin - Marco

Monte C arlo, 1901

## 1.d4 d5 2.c4 c6 3.Nc3 e5 4.dxe5 d4 5.Ne4 Q a5+

This is almost always seen. Bagirov tried to adapt $M$ arco's idea of developing the light-squared bishop at f5, this time as a true gambit, without any attempt to regain the pawn. After 5...Bf5 (5...Bb4+ 6.Bd2 Bxd2+ 7.Qxd2 Bf5 8.Nd6+ Kf8 9.Nxf5 1-0, M antia-Bair, O hio 1987.) 6.N g3 we have:
a) 6 ...Be6, which remains untested, but looks unimpressive to me: 7.N f3
a1) 7...Qa5+ 8.Bd2 Bb4 9.Bxb4 Qxb4+ 10.Qd2 Qxd2+ 11.N xd2土.
a2) 7...Bb4+ 8.Bd2 Bxd2+ 9.Qxd2 Bxc4 10.Qxd4 Qxd4 11.Nxd4 Nd7 12.N gf5! $\pm$
a3) 7...Bxc4 8.Qxd4 Bb4+ 9.Bd2 Q xd4 10.N xd4 Bxd2+ 11.Kxd2 Nd7 12.N gf5 $\pm$-A nalysis.
b) 6...Bg6 is not good. 7.e4! effectively refutes this plan. 7...dxe3 (7...f6 8.e6 is given in Silman \& Donaldson. 8...Bb4+9.Bd2 Qd6 $10 . f 4$ and the Bg 6 is in serious trouble.) $8 . \mathrm{Q} x \mathrm{~d} 8+\mathrm{Kxd8} 9 . \mathrm{Bxe} 3$ Bb4+ 10.Bd2 Bxd2+ 11.Kxd2 and Black has nothing to show for the pawn. 11...Nd7 12.f4 Nh6 13.Nf3 Ke7 14.Kc3 Nc5 15.Nh4 Ke6 16.Be2 Rad8 17.N xg6 hxg6 18.b4 Na4+ 19.K b3 Nb6 20.Rad1 Nc8 21.Kc3 Ne7 22.Bf3 b6 23.Ne4 f6 24.exf6 gxf6 25.Rhel Rxd1 26.Rxd1 Nhf5 27.h3 Nc8 28.Bg4 Re8 29.Ng3 Nce7 30.Re1+ Kd7 31.Ne4 Rf8 32.Nd2 Kd8 33.Nb3 Ke8 34.Nd4 Nxd4 35.Kxd4 f5 36.Bf3 Kf7 37.K c3 Rh8 38.Rd1 Ke6 39.c5 bxc5 40.bxc5 Rh4 41.Rd6+ Kf7 42.Rd4 a5 43.K d3 Rh8 44.Ra4 Ra8 45.Kc4 Ra7 46.Ra3 Ke8 47.Kd4 Kf7 48.K c4 Ke8 49.Rb3 Kd7 50.a3 Ke6 51.Rb6 Kd7 52.K d4 a4 53.K e5 Rc7 54.K f6 Ra7 55.g3 K d8 56.Rb8+ K d7 57.R h8 Rc7 58.Rh7 Ke8 59.K e6 K f8 60.R f7+ Ke8 61.h4 Rb7 62.h5 1-0, EngqvistBagirov, Stockholm 1990.

## 6.N d2

6.Bd2 is equally popular now.
6...Bf5

| å UY Ŷ é ä |
| :---: |
| á à Ý Ü Ý à á à |
| Ü Ý à Ý Ü Ý Ü Ý |
| ñ Ü Y Ü ß è Y Ü |
| Ü Ý P á Ü Y Ü Y |
| Ý Ü Ý Ü Ý Ü Ý Ü |
| p ß Ü ã p ß p ß |
| ë Ü ç î ó æã ê |

This was the original plan, but it was quickly abandoned.
6 ... Bg 4 is a recent try which turned out well in its debut.
a) 7.N gf3 and now:
a1) 7...Bxf3 8.exf3 Qxe5+ (8...Nd7 9.f4 0-0-0 10.Bd3 Nc5 11.Bf5+ and $W$ hite will castle with a good game.) $9 . Q e 2$ Qxe2+ (9...Bd6 10.Qxe5+ Bxe5 11.Bd3 Nf6 12.0-0 0-0 13.Rel and W hite has the bishop pair and the initiative.) 10.Bxe2 Nf 6 11.0-0 Be7 12.Rel 0-0 13.Ne4 and the bishop pair may be worth more than the doubled pawns.
a2) 7...c5 8.b4!? Qxb4 (8...cxb4 9.Nb3 Qd8 10.Qxd4 $\pm 9 . R b 1$ Qa5 10.Rxb7 Qxa2 11.Ng5 Be6 (11...Bh5 12.e6) 12.Nxe6 fxe6 $13 . e 3 \mathrm{dxe} 314 . f x e 3$ and W hite will have a lot of fun on the light squares.
b) 7.h3. I don't like this kingside expansion plan much. W hy not just develop? 7...Bh5 8.g4 Bg6 9.Ngf3 h5 10.Bg2 hxg4 11.Nxd4 Nd7 12.e6! Ne5! 13.exf7+ Bxf7 14.N 4b3 Qc7 15.Ne4 Nxc4 16.Bg5 Bd5 17.Q d4 Bb4+ 18.Kf1 Ne7 19.hxg4 Rxh1+ 20.Bxh1 0-0-0. Matsukevich considers this position unclear. 21.Bf3 Qh2 22.Rd1 Ne5 23.Bg2 Nf7 24.Q xb4 Nxg5 25.Qxe7 Nh3 26.Kel Qxg2 27.Nd6+ Rxd6 28.Qxd6 Qxf2+ 29.Kd2 Ng5 30.Kc1 Ne4 31.Qe7 Qe3+ 32.Nd2 g5 33.Qf8+ Kc7 34.Qe7+ Kb6 35.Qb4+ Kc7 36.Qe7+ Kb6 37.Qa3 Qxe2 38.Qb4+ Kc7 39.Nxe4 Qxe4 40.Qxe4 Bxe4 41.Rf1 Kd6
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42.Rf7 b5 43.Rxa7 Ke5 44.Rf7 Kd4 1-0, Epishin-Shabalov, Tilburg 1993.

## 7.N gf3 c5

Black must do something about the weak pawn at d4, especially since if it falls to the knight, the bishop on $f 5$ will be attacked. A s we have seen in Engvist-Bagirov (above), the problem with the bishop at $f 5$ is that it is just too exposed to attack by W hite knights.

## 8.Q b3

$8.93 \pm$ is preferred by $M$ atsukevich, and if one compares this position with that of the Albin C ountergambit, it is clear that Black's pieces are poorly placed. But there is nothing wrong with delaying the fianchetto and developing the queen.

## 8...Nd7 9.g3 Be4 10.Bg2 Bc6 11.0-0



W hite has a clear advantage. The extra pawn is strong and the light squares can be contested. $Y$ et it is the nature of gambit play that if the side with the extra material is not careful, some counterplay can arise.

## 11...Ne7 12.e3 dxe3 13.Qxe3 Nf5 14.Qf4 g6

Black is still much worse, but starts to get a glimmer of hope on the dark squares and with opposite wing castling.

## 15.Ne4 0-0-0 16.Neg5?!

This allows $W$ hite's pieces to get tied down.
16.Nd6+!?
a) 16...Bxd6 17.exd6 Bxf3 18.Bxf3 Nd4 19.Be3! (19.Qxf7?? Rdf8. or 19.Bd5?? Ne2+) 19...Nc2 (19...N xf3+) 20.Rac1 Nxe3 21.fxe3
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Qxa2 22.Ra1 Q xb2 23.Rfb1 Q f6 24.Bxb7+K b8 25.Bc6+Nb6 26.Rxb6+ axb6 27.Ra8\#.
b) 16...Nxd6 17.exd6 f5 18.Bd2 embarrasses the Black queen. 18...Q a6 19.Bc3 R g8 20.b4 cxb4 21.Bxb4 $\pm$

## 16...Bh6 17.Q g4 Bxg5 18.Bxg5 h5

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 19.Qf4 Rde8 20.a3?

White is wasting time. With an extra pawn and the bishop pair, more aggressive play was required. 20.Bh3 Bxf3 21.Qxf3 Rxe5 (21...Nd4 22.Bxd7 + Kxd7 23.Qxf7+. 21...N xe5 22.Bxf5 + gxf5 23.Qxf5 + Re6 24.Bf6) 22.Rad1 looks good for White, with the bishop pair and a strong initiative, for example: 22...Qxa2 (22...Nd4? 23.Bxd7+Kxd7 24.Qxf7+ Kc8 25.Bf6) 23.Rxd7 Kxd7 24.Qxb7+ Ke6 (24...Ke8 25.Qb8+) 25.Qe7\#.

## 20...Re6 21.b4 Qc7 22.b5 Bxf3 23.Bxf3 N d4!

White's pieces are not doing anything, and with the locked queenside, Black's king is safe, so there is opportunity for such counterplay.
24.Ra2?

A $n$ incomprehensible move.
24...f6!
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Suddenly Black is winning!

## 25.Bd5

Or 25.exf6 Qxf4 26.Bxf4 Nxf3+.
25...fxg5 26.Qxg5 Qxe5 0-1.
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## I ndex of $G$ ames (games in bold are main lines)

| -A-_ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Akhmilovskaya-Semenova | 110 |
| Akhmylovskaya-Semenova | 80 |
| Alapin-Marco | 6 |
| Alford-Jackson | 70 |
| Andre-De Smet | 38,50 |
| Andre-Rheinheimer | 72 |
| A zmaiparashvili-Eslon | 18 |


| $-\mathbf{B}-$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Baay-Euwe | 100 |
| Belyavsky-Gelfand | 31 |
| Belyavsky-Lautier | 60 |
| Bernal Caamano-Hector | 105 |
| Bertukov-Dementiyev | 75 |
| Bischoff-Schwartzman | 23 |


| $-\mathbf{C}-$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Carlsson-Enqvist | 77 |
| Chernin-Smagin | 72 |

Danielsen-Hector 76
De Asa-Odeh 64
Dlugy-Shabalov 81

Dragomarezky-Komissarov 101
D zhindzhichashvili-Tal 51


Engqvist-Bagirov 6
Epishin-Salov
Epishin-Shabalov
$-\mathrm{F}-$
Farago - Shabalov 79
Farago-Delamarre 51
Farago-Nikolic 43
Flear-Van der Sterren 33
Fominyh-Schmidt 32
Fricke-Schwertel, 44
Fries N ielsen-H ector 23
-G—
Granda Zuniga-Morovic 38
Gurevich-Nikolic 104
Gurevich-Piket 16
Gurgenidze-Pimenov 79

- $\mathrm{H}-$

Hansen,L-Nikolic 58
Hansen-Hector 103
Heiligermann-Horvath 100
H ort-H erndl 104
Horvath-Ortega 109
Horvath-Yanovsky 62
-I-
Ionov-Tregubov 24
Ivanov-Shabalov 80
-J—
Jachtchenko-Zak 29
Johansson-Liljeblad 25
-K—
Kamaras-Hector 51
Karpov-Bareyev 43
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| Kasparov-Nikolic | 11 | _P- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Khalilbeili-Pimenov | 98 |  |  |
| Kharlov-Malyutin | 99 | Petronic-C etkovic | 112 |
| Kharlov-Shabalov | 85 | Petursson-Ivanovic | 69 |
| Klugert-Schilling | 95 | Pillsbury- Marco | 49 |
| Kondratiyev-Kirillov | 11 | Pinter-Salov | 39 |
| Korchnoi-Schwartzman | 22 | Polgar-Haba | 85 |
| Krasenkov-Shabalov | 59 | Portisch-Lengyel | 92 |
| Krasenkov-Yanofsky | 19 |  |  |
| K urajica-Lalic | 104 | -R- |  |
| - L- |  | Roeder-Shabalov | 31 |
| -L- |  | Rosli-Azahari | 80 |
| Langeweg-Donner | 61 | Roycroft-Unknown | 58 |
| Leisebein-Rheinheimer | 73 | Rustemov-Litvinov | 26 |
| Liebowitz-Marchand | 99 |  |  |
| Lukacs-Yanofsky | 76 | -S |  |
| Lynch - Piazzini | 92 |  |  |
|  |  | Savchenko-Ivanov | 109 |
| -M- |  | Scherbakov-Czerwonski | 75 |
|  |  | Seirawan-Hansen | 33 |
| Makogonov-Model | 58 | Seirawan-Shabalov | 110 |
| Maksimovic-Markovic, | 80 | Shabani-Kiss | 24 |
| M arin-Cosma | 20 | Shamkovich-Nei | 74 |
| Marshall -Winawer | 55 | Simagin-Baranov | 75 |
| Messner-Schuster | 57 | Simagin-Nei | 44 |
| Michell-Przepiorka | 64 | Skomorokhin-Milov | 17 |
| Mikenas - Karasev | 28 | Speelman-Bareyev | 104 |
| Mohd-Azahari | 65 | Speelman-Nikolic | 86 |
| Mohr-Hector | 66 | Szekely-Dalmy | 35 |
| Mont-Reynaud-Nakamura | 50 |  |  |
| Muller-Has | 58 | T- |  |
| Muresan-Forgo | 28 |  |  |
|  |  | Tal-Nei | 73 |
| -N |  | Tal-Randviir | 73 |
|  |  | Tamburini-Majenza | 41 |
| Naumkin-Bagirov | 49 | Teichmann-Merkel | 61 |
| Nei-Butnorius | 55 | Thomas-Ahues | 56 |
| Neverov-Tregubov | 111 | Timman-Nikolic | 44 |
| Nogueiras-Nikolic | 66 | Topalov-Cruz Lopez | 108 |
| Nuoristo-Engqvist | 31 | Toth-Csaszar | 55 |
| - $\mathrm{O}-$ |  | - $\mathrm{U}-$ |  |
| Olafsson-Hertneck | 45 | Uhlmann-Hector | 64 |
|  |  |  |  |


| H ow to play the W inawer C ountergambit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - V - |  | -W- |  |
| Vajda-Grünfeld | 73 | Wells-Tregubov | 50 |
| Van den Bosch-Brinckmann | 73 | Wiedenkeller-Engqvist | 76, 77 |
| V an der Sterren-H ector | 61 | Wiedenkeller-Zapolskis | 74 |
| Varga-Kovacova | 17 |  |  |
| Vass-Keskowski | 95 | - $\mathbf{Z}$ |  |
|  |  | Zoedl-Tammemagi | 61 |

